

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,409	06/25/2003	Samuel M. Shaolian	14307-4	5663
21967 7590 09/12/2007 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			PRIDDY, MICHAEL B	
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	er, n.w.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H	•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,409	SHAOLIAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Michael B. Priddy	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	· ·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apprincity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
AMaahaa aasta)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date pmal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 27, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palestrant (US 5,030,201). Palestrant teaches a device capable of enucleation comprising: a proximal end; a distal end comprising a cutting cap comprising a plurality of elastically deformable blades 36, 38, 40, etc.; and a flexible shaft 26 between the proximal end and the cutting cap; where the plurality of elastically deformable blades 36, etc. can cut material in a space when the blades are not deformed, after accessing the space through a passage while the blades are deformed; and where the passage

Application/Control Number: 10/606,409

Art Unit: 3733

has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blades while the blades are cutting the material; wherein an axial guidewire lumen (surrounding wire 32) extends between the proximal end and the distal end.

Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 14, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ratcliff et al. (U.S. 5,709,697). Ratcliff et al. teach a method of cutting material in a space, comprising: providing device having a proximal end, a distal end comprising a cutting cap comprising a plurality of deformable blades 160 formed of shape memory alloy (line 45 of column 4); and a shaft 112 between the proximal end and the cutting cap, where the plurality of elastically deformable blades 160 can cut material in a space when the blades 160 are not deformed, after accessing the space through a passage while the blades are deformed; and where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blades 160 while the blades 160 are cutting the material; accessing the space with the device (line 36 of column 5); actuating the device, thereby effecting cutting of the material (line 45 of column 5 through line 17 of column 6); deforming the blades before actuation the device, and accessing the space through a passage while the blades are deformed; where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral crosssectional area of the undeformed blades while the blades are cutting the material (lines 46-65 of column 5); retracting the cutting device after cutting material (lines 23-29 of column 6).

Art Unit: 3733

Concerning the limitations of claim 6 requiring the passage be curved, it is noted that Ratcliff et al. indicate the device, in use, can be inserted through a trocar cannula (line 38 of column 5). Trocar cannulas are known to have round (curved) cross-sections.

Claims 1, 4, 8, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Groshong (U.S. 5,178,625). Groshong teaches a method of cutting material in a space, comprising: providing device having a proximal end, a distal end comprising a cutting cap comprising a plurality of deformable blades 34/35; and a shaft 14 between the proximal end and the cutting cap, where the plurality of elastically deformable blades can cut material in a space when the blades are not deformed, after accessing the space through a passage while the blades are deformed; and where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blades while the blades are cutting the material.

Groshong teaches *creating* a passage to access the space; *deforming* the blades to fit through the passage; *advancing* the device through the passage until the cutting cap passes into the space, thereby allowing the blades to expand to their undeformed shape; *actuating* the device thereby effecting cutting of the material; where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blades while the blades are cutting the material; wherein advancing the cutting device through the passage comprises *advancing* the cutting device over a guide wire (lines 15-62 of column 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,409

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratcliff et al. as applied to claims 4 and 11 above, and further in view of the following. Ratcliff et al. teaches all of the limitations of the present invention except advancing the device in the space to cut additional material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the method of Ratcliff et al. a second time (advancing the cutting device in the space to cut additional material), since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 1, 4, 9, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Middleton et al. (US 6,746,451). Middleton et al. teach *providing* a device 100 having a proximal end, a distal end comprising a cutting cap comprising an elastically deformable blade 120; and a shaft 110 between the proximal end and the cutting cap, where the plurality of elastically deformable blade 120 can cut material in a space when the blade 120 are not deformed, after accessing the space through a passage while the blade 120 are deformed; and where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blade 120 while the blade 120 are cutting the material; *creating* a passage to access the space (lines 55-59 of column 3); *deforming* the blades to fit through the passage (lines 60-65

Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

of column 3 and Fig. 13A); *advancing* the device through the passage until the cutting cap passes into the space, thereby allowing the blades to expand to their undeformed shape (lines 60-65 of column 3 and Fig. 13A); *actuating* the device thereby effecting cutting of the material (lines 60-65 of column 3 and Fig. 13A); where the passage has a smaller cross-sectional area than the lateral cross-sectional area of the undeformed blades while the blades are cutting the.

Hence the embodiment of Middleton et al. shown in Figs. 2A, 2B, 3A-C & 13A-B teaches all of the limitations of the present invention except a *plurality* of deformable blades. In Figures 7A & B Middleton et al. teach a device having two elastically deformable blades 520. It would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use the embodiment of Figures 7A & B in the method depicted in Figures 13A&B to provide for a smoother, more-balanced cutting path.

Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Middleton et al. as applied to claims 4 and 11 above, and further in view of Reiley et al. (U.S. 6,248,110 B1). Middleton et al. teach all of the limitations of the present invention except advancing the device through a transpedicular access passage in a vertebra.

Scribner et al. (U.S. 5,792,015) teach treating diseased vertebrae via a transpedicular approach (Figs. 5, 6, 7 & 8) and indicated in a transpedicular approach is typical (lines 58-60 of column 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3733

the art at the time of the present invention to use a transpedicular approach as taught by Scribner et al. with the tissue removal method of Middleton et al. as one of a finite number of known and predictable solutions for accessing the intervertebral space.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is 571-272-2243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,409

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael B. Priddy Mulaul B. January August 31, 2007

> 'UAMWY'C. ROBERT SOR'," PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8